A Skeptic's Guide to Nutrition News

## WHY BE A SKEPTIC?

- Most nutrition studies do not properly disclose the presence, and impact, of industry funding.
- When industry funding is properly disclosed, study results are favorable to the sponsor more than 60% of the time.
- 40-60% of scientific studies cannot be reproduced.
- A research claim is more likely to be false than true, meaning conclusions were made that aren't backed up by the data.
- Many research findings simply represent the current prevailing bias.





Nutrition Research Volume 132, December 2024, Pages 180-189



## Original Research

## Whole milk intake is associated with lower body weight and body mass index in American adults

Moises Torres-Gonzalez <sup>a</sup> 久 졀 , Matthew A. Pikosky <sup>a</sup>, Kristin Ricklefs-Johnson <sup>a</sup>, <mark>Kristin Fulgoni <sup>b</sup>,</mark> Victor L. Fulgoni III <sup>b</sup>, Sanjiv Agarwal <sup>c</sup>, Christopher J. Cifelli <sup>a</sup>

## Sources of Support

The study and the writing of the manuscript were supported by National Dairy Council.



How to be a Savvy Consumer of Nutrition News

- What is the source? Does the source have a known agenda, or financial interest, in what they are reporting? Is it clickbait?
- Does the headline sound sensational? Be skeptical if it: a) sounds too good to be true, b) is trying to scare you, or c) is overselling itself.
- Was the research done on humans? Studies done on mice or in a petri dish cannot be generalized to human health.
- **Go beyond the headline.** Read the entire article. Headlines often oversimplify complex findings or misrepresent the study's conclusions.
- Is it correlation or causation? Does the study show correlation (association between factors) or causation (one factor directly causing another)? Most nutrition research is correlational and should be interpreted with caution.
- **Consider relative risk vs. absolute risk.** Relative risk compares the risk between two groups (e.g., "50% higher risk"), while absolute risk shows the actual difference in risk (e.g., "increases from 2% to 3%"). Headines often use relative risk because it sounds more dramatic.
- Is the study titled "Effect of food product X on disease Y?" If so, the research is likely to be industry-funded and have biased results.
- Have you heard it before? If the results seem contrary to what you know about a topic, be skeptical. Cross-check information with other sources to get a more balanced view.
- Is it relevant to you and your health? Consider how applicable the study findings are to your own health goals and circumstances. Personalized advice requires tailored approaches beyond generalized research findings.

Remember

- What we know about human nutrition isn't changing nearly as quickly as it seems.
- The never-ending flow of information creates the illusion there is something new to know.
- The basics of good nutrition have been know to us for a while, and are not likely to change.